

Appln. No. 09/871,450  
Supplemental Response dated February 9, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of November 16, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Supplemental to the filing of the December 16, 2005 Response to Species Election, Applicant realized that Group I, corresponding to claims 46-51, drawn to an apparatus/delivery device, could not be elected in the present application since method claims were previously elected in connection with this application. Therefore, Applicant hereby withdraws the election of Group I corresponding to claims 46-51 drawn to an apparatus/delivery device as set forth in the December 16, 2005 Response, and hereby elects Group II corresponding to claims 52-57 drawn to a contents delivery method. In conjunction with this response, Applicant hereby states that method claims 52-57 are readable on Fig. 10 as supported by Figs. 5 and 6.

It is respectfully requested that upon allowance of a generic claim, the Examiner consider all claims which depend therefrom or which otherwise include the limitations thereof.

In order to assist the Examiner in correlating method claims 52-57 to the figures, submitted herewith in an Appendix to this response are claims 52-57 which include reference numerals.


It is respectfully submitted that this submission is fully responsive to the Office Action dated November 11, 2005. It is

Appln. No. 09/871,450  
Supplemental Response dated February 9, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of November 16, 2005

respectfully requested that prosecution on the merits now proceed on the basis of the election set forth above.

If the Examiner has any comments, questions, objections or recommendations, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the telephone number listed below for prompt action.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert F. Michal  
Reg. No. 35,614

Frishauf, Holtz, Goodman & Chick, P.C.  
220 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10001-7708  
Tel. (212) 319-4900  
Fax (212) 319-5101  
RPM/ms